MEANING EXTENSION AND GRAMMATICAL GENDER IN NEAR SYNONYMY ANALYSIS: A CORPUS BASED STUDY

Abstract: Contrastive analysis of descriptive adjective hrabar-a,o in Montenegrin and descriptive adjective brave as well as their near synonyms in English and Montenegrin will be employed in this paper in order to prove the presence of the descriptive adjectives' prevailing idiomatic meaning in both languages. The results of the analysis indicate that the semantic (and grammatical) aspects of words are reflected onto and within their collocational framework. Furthermore, it is expected that the collocational framework of the adjective hrabar-a,o in Montenegrin will change depending upon the grammatical gender implied (masculine, feminine, neutral), as well as the sequence of its near synonyms. The same changes are not expected to occur in English due to its lack of grammatical gender. The methodology of the research comprises the frequency of the primary and idiomatic meaning analyses of descriptive adjective hrabar-a,o, and its near synonyms based on the framework of the Contemporary Serbian language electronic corpus, (Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, University of Belgrade), and the descriptive adjective brave and its near synonyms analyzed on the British National Corpus data (BNC) and the Words Bank: English database.
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1. Introduction

Early lexical semantic studies investigated the degree to which metaphor could be used to account for meaning extension; similarly the concept of radial categories allowed for new insights into linguistic organization and the related mental representation of polysemy and to a lesser extent of near-synonymy (Divjak – Gries 2006). Recently, there has been a gradual shift in the analyses from intuition-based, corpus-illustrated work to corpus-based analyses (cf. Gibbs – Matlock, 2001; Kishner – Gibbs, 1996 and the papers in Gries & Stefanowitsch, 2006 and Stefanowitsch – Gries, 2006). The analysis is corpus-based as well, analyzing the descriptive adjectives and their near-synonyms within two
electronic corpora. Research on extensions of meaning were undertaken by Dragićević (2010), who noticed transitivity of meaning in the following analyzed lexemes and phrases:

“Presently, the most conspicuous example of meaning transitivity is reflected through the verb *pričati* (Eng. *talk*), which is, in everyday conversation, used in the specific meaning: *govoriti* (Eng. *speak*) and *razgovarati* (Eng. *communicate*), as illustrated in the following sentences: *Ne pričam više s njim (= govorim)* (Eng. *I don’t speak with him any more*); *Ona dobro priča španski (= govori)*, eng. *She speaks Spanish well*; *Sve vremе smo pričale (= razgovarale)*. eng. *We talked all the time. (= communicate).* Similar to these examples, the verb *polomiti* eng. *crash* is often used in the context implying the meaning *slomiti* and *razbiti*, eng. *break*; e.g. *polomiti nogu / ruku (= slomiti)*, *polomiti nekom nos (= razbiti)*, *polomiti prozor / staklo / vazu (= razbiti)*, obviously under the influence of single definition and understanding of the English verb *break* while translating it almost always with the same word and in the same way” (Dragićević 2010).

The illustrated example of meaning transitivity should be taken into consideration and criticised as such.

According to the stated examples it is noticeable that transitivity of meaning stems from various foundations. Namely, besides the frequency of the use of a certain expression within a specific collocational framework, it has been noticed that loan words from foreign languages can influence extensions of meaning in the source language.

Stockwell and Minkova (2006: 149-161) claim that semantic changes derive from many internal and external factors. Technological progress is considered to be the major external factor affecting semantic changes, whereas the use of lexemes based on the analogy principles and generalization propensity is

---

2 The illustrated example of meaning transitivity should be taken into consideration and criticised as such.

3 “Examples of synonymy suppression are obvious in phrases loaned from a foreign language or phrases greatly influenced by words from the foreign language: *egzil*, *izgnanstvo*; *reptil*, *gmizavac*; *keš*, *gotovina*; *informacija*, *obaveštenje*; *dementovati*, *opovrgnuti*; *kratka priča* (a literal translation of the English phrase *short story*), *pripovetka*; *ohrabrivati* (an inadequate interpretation of one of the senses of the English verb meaning *encourage*), *podsticati*” (Dragićević 2010).
considered to be the internal factor influencing these changes. Dragićević (2010: 135) deems that secondary meanings of lexemes evolve, being aligned to several principles (metaphor, metonymy and synecdoch), but she emphasizes that there are “many cases when meaning can not be predicted as such and it results out of a specific extension of meaning.” Therefore, in the next section of a paper we will give a short overview of major causes of both meaning extension and the narrowing of meaning.

2. Semantic changes (extension and narrowing of meaning)

The founder of modern semantics, Breal, and his predecessor Reisig carried out a scientific investigation of the laws that influence the course of semantic changes. Until 1930, all the analyses in this field had bifurcated in two directions: the classification of semantic changes and the disclosure of semantic laws (Ullman 1962). However, according to Ullman (1962) in spite of many complexities of these processes, it is possible to outline several major semantic causes of semantic changes:

1. Linguistic causes – Some semantic changes may occur as a result of relations among words. Common collocations may have a long term influence on the meaning of an expression through the meaning transfer of a certain lexeme onto another word, due to their frequent co-occurrence in the same context.  
2. Historical causes – Objects, ideas and specific concepts change over time, though their name stays the same, maintaining the tradition and their continuity.
3. Sociological causes – When a word from the standard language becomes a part of a professional language of trade, craft or of any other social group, that word acquires a limited meaning. Contrary to this, words loaned from the language of a

4 Radić-Bojanić and Silaški (2012) examined the metaphorical conceptualizations of the lexeme-notion glava in Serbian and the notion head in English.
5 For a detailed insight into the issue of semantic changes see Shindo (2009) and Dirvens – Porings (2006).
6 The stated linguistic causes of semantic changes (meaning the extension of lexemes) are the main focus of our research.
7 For example, the word pencil derives from the Latin meaning feather or thin brush; however, the same word was retained even when people started using wood for making these devices for writing, and therefore we still have word pencil in common use.
particular social group, gain a wider meaning when passing into
standard language.  

4. Psychological causes – Semantic changes often occur
as a reflection of the author’s state of mind or as a consequence of
an enduring trait within his mental framework. A coincidence
may draw the speaker’s attention or a witty thought may create a
certain image, a presentation which, due to its expressive
intensity, become commonly used. For example, the idea that a
certain image and notion is in a way related to a horse, has
inspired many graphic and humorous metaphors and idioms:
clothes-horse, horse-fish, horse-tail, horse-play, to flog a dead
horse, to mount the high horse, to look a gift horse in the mouth,
and so on.

In semantic research, special attention has been paid to
the psychological causes of semantic changes, among which we
would highlight the following: emotional factors and taboo.

After reviewing a selection of the causes of extensions of
meaning and defining near-synonymy, we will present our
methodological approach to the research in which we aim at
indicating the dominant idiomatic meaning of the adjective
hrabar, a, o and its near synonyms in relation to their
grammatical gender in Montenegrin. The same analysis will be
carried out in English, while analysing the descriptive adjective
brave and its near synonyms.

3. Near synonyms

Cruse (1986: 270) claims that “natural languages abhor
absolute synonyms just as nature abhors vacuum,” as the
meanings of words are constantly changing. Clark (1992)

8 Nyota and Mareva (2012) illustrate the influence of street jargon on the
standard language while describing a great number of urban dialects, which
represent combinations of the standard language of Zimbabwe and English as
a second language.

9 Quite often, the feeling of awe dedicated to supernatural beings has imposed
certain prohibitions related to the utterance of their names. The Jewish people
were never allowed to address God directly; we have noticed a similar
situation in English in which people use the expression Lord, as well as in
French, where the word Seigneur is used. There are many euphemistic
expressions related to death and sickness, therefore words such as disease and
undertaker show that these changes became closely related to the very
forbidden tabooed idea, having lost their euphemistic value and being exposed
to immediate replacement in order to mitigate the impression of discomfort
they convey (Gareearts 2009).
displays her principle of contrast, stating that “every two forms contrast in meaning”, supporting the previous contention related to the natural elimination of absolute synonymy in languages.

Therefore, words are rather close in meaning, similar but not identical, not completely interchangeable varying in their nuances of denotation, connotation, implication, emphasis or register (DiMarco, Hirst and Stede 1993). These words are called near-synonyms (or plesionysms).10

Cruse (1986) differentiates cognitive synonyms and plesionysms; cognitive synonyms are words that, when inter-substituted in a sentence, preserve its truth conditions but may change the expressive meaning, style or register of the sentence (e.g., violin : fiddle; misty : foggy) (Edmonds and Hirst 2002: 115-116).

However, Edmonds and Hirst (2002) oppose to such coarse-grained definitions of plesyonisms and cognitive synonyms, claiming that definitions of near-synonymy that do not take granularity into account are insufficient.11

Murphy states that synonymy and similarity are firstly described from the author’s meta-lexical perspective, thus being considered "a relation between our conceptualizations of words, rather than between their lexical entries [in the mental lexicon]" (Murphy 2004:134). She claims a synonym ensemble "includes only word-concepts that have all the same contextually relevant properties, but differ in form" (Murphy, 2004: 134).

Though collocations can often be unexpected, they are of the utmost importance regarding the lexical structure of the language and therefore they tend to be recurrent. Sinclair (1991: 170) defined collocations as “thoccurrence of two or more words within a short space of each other in a text” and suggested a span of four to five words on either side of the node (Sinclair 1968: 105-6).12

10 Cruse 1986.

11 Having taken granularity into account, we can create a much more useful definition of near-synonymy, because we can now characterize the difference between essential and peripheral aspects of meaning (Edmonds and Hirst 2002: 117).

12 Sinclair advocated a statistically oriented approach in the mid 1960s, as he was the first to regard computer-based corpora as a very useful tool for analysing collocations (Sinclair 1966: 428). He he considered that the "patterns perceived by a trained linguist examining a text are unreliable and usually extremely tentative" (Sinclair 1966: 413).
Consequently, a great number of synonymy analyses are context-dependent, being focused on collecting data on contextual factors that substantially differentiate semantic nuances among words sharing a similar denotation, as well as on objective factors that determine which word within a group is selected for a certain context. This line of scientific research represents a complete reversal of the traditional introspective approach and such concepts as the synonymy span of use (Zgusta 1971).

4. Methodology and goals

The methodological diversity of this approach features the use of the collocational method (Hlebec 2008; Hlebec 2012), followed by a componential analysis of the collocates of the extracted descriptive adjectives’ and, then, as the final step of the research, applying contrastive analysis. The very process of contrasting (or analysing) presupposes the comparison of nominal collocates of descriptive adjectives, not the comparison of descriptive adjectives in isolation.

This approach is, though only partially\(^{13}\), in accordance with the collocational method originally applied by Hlebec (2011). Hlebec (2011: 122) elaborates the specificity of the collocational approach through the analysis of the descriptive adjective *wild* (Hlebec 2008; Hlebec 2012).

As one of the precursors of the collocational approach to semantic word analysis, Palmer (1976: 76) quotes Firth, who claims: “you shall know the word by the company it keeps”\(^{14}\) emphasizing the importance of the collocational framework to word analysis.

The aim of our research is to show the dominant presence of the idiomatic meaning of the contrasted descriptive adjectives and their near synonyms in English and Montenegrin. Furthermore, it is expected that the collocational framework of

\(^{13}\) We have to emphasize that there are certain differences regarding the collocational method we have devised for the purposes of this paper compared to the collocational method originally presented by Hlebec. Namely, in his collocational method, Hlebec insists on an exhaustive polysemantic account of the lexeme analysed whereas we have focused only on the most frequent collocational framework of the lexeme which, to a certain extent, restricts the number of possible meanings of the lexeme under analysis. However, the range of this analysis was bound to be confined to certain limits as such.

\(^{14}\) Firth (1957: 11).
the adjective *hrabar*-a,-o in Montenegrin will change with the grammatical gender implied (masculine, feminine, neutral), as the sequence of its near synonyms will change, too. Consequently, we claim that there are inflectional selectional differences among synonyms in a morphologically rich language such as Montenegrin. On the other hand, our results show that the same changes do not occur within the analysis applied to the English language, due to its lack of grammatical gender.

In the long term, we expect that the results of the analysis will shed light on grammatical gender in Montenegrin as an influential generator of the extensions of meaning.

5. Analysis of descriptive adjective and their near synonyms in Serbian. The descriptive adjective *hrabar*-a,-o

The following four synonyms can be considered to be the near synonyms of the descriptive adjective *hrabar: herojski, odvažan, smion, junački*, after having analyzed the first ten synonyms (extracted from the dictionary of synonyms: *Rečnik sinonima*, Pavle Ćosić i saradnici (2008:293)) through their co-occurrences within the most frequent nominal collocations of the node word *hrabar*.

Table 1 Near-synonymy samples of the adjective *hrabar*. The most frequent four collocates of the descriptive adjective *hrabar* analysed on the corpus data of the Contemporary Serbian language of the Faculty of Mathematics in Belgrade.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HRABAR</th>
<th>Potez</th>
<th>Čovjek</th>
<th>Korak</th>
<th>Čin</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concordance no. of collocates analysed on the corpus data of Google Search (pages from Montenegro)</td>
<td>27300</td>
<td>16200</td>
<td>11600</td>
<td>11000</td>
<td>66100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Near-synonymy samples of the adjective <em>hrabar</em></strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herojski</td>
<td>934</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>39800</td>
<td>40870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odvažan</td>
<td>10800</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>10803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smion</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junački</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At the second stage of the research, frequency analysis of the four 15 most recurrent collocates of the adjective *hrabar*

---

15 Sun, Huang & Liu (2011) in their near synonymy analysis point out that it is enough to extract four collocations in order to avoid all existent collocations'
Meaing extension and grammatical gender in near synonym analysis

(∼potez, ∼čovjek, ∼korak, ∼čin) En. (∼move, ∼man, ∼step, ∼act) was carried out within the collocational framework of the suggested near synonyms (herojska, odvažna, smiona, junački) (see table 1). Having examined the results, we can conclude that the near synonyms of the adjective hrabar are: herojski (40870), odvažan (10803), smion (202), junački (2) (www.google.com, pages from Montenegro, taken on December 02, 2015). The semantic component of the seme hrabar is having no fear. One nominal collocate has a concrete meaning (∼čovjek) whereas the other three nominal collocates bear an idiomatic meaning (∼potez, ∼korak, ∼čin).
Table 2 Near-synonymy samples of the adjective *hrabra*. The most frequent four collocates of the descriptive adjective *hrabra* analysed on the corpus data of the Contemporary Serbian language of the Faculty of Mathematics in Belgrade.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HRABRA</th>
<th>Žena</th>
<th>Odluka</th>
<th>Djevojka</th>
<th>Igra</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concordance no. of collocates analysed on the corpus data of Google Search (pages from Montenegro)</td>
<td>23600</td>
<td>11400</td>
<td>6720</td>
<td>5640</td>
<td>47360</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Near-synonymy samples of the adjective *hrabra*

| Herojska | 368 | 235 | 1 | 1420 | 2024 |
| Odvažna | 1600 | 189 | 921 | 9 | 2719 |
| Smiona | 120 | 272 | 123 | 78 | 593 |
| Junačka | 58 | 118 | 29 | 1140 | 1345 |

The third stage of the research includes frequency analysis of the four most recurrent collocates of the adjective *hrabra* (~žena, ~odluka, ~djevojka, ~igra); En. ~woman, ~decision, ~girl, ~game) within the collocational framework of the suggested near synonyms (*herojska, odvažna, smiona, junačka*) (see table 2). The results of the analysis suggest that the near synonyms of the adjective *hrabra* are: odvažna (2719), herojska (2024), junačka (1345), smiona (593) (www.google.com, pages from Montenegro, taken on December 02, 2015). The mutual semantic content of the seme *hrabra* would be *bold, fearless* (Table 2). Two nominal collocates have a concrete meaning (~woman, ~girl), and the remaining two collocates have an idiomatic meaning (~decision, ~game).

Table 3 Near-synonymy samples of the adjective *hrabro*. The most frequent four collocates of the descriptive adjective *hrabro* analysed on the corpus data of the Contemporary Serbian language of the Faculty of Mathematics in Belgrade.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HRABRO</th>
<th>Srce</th>
<th>Suočavanje</th>
<th>Novinarstvo</th>
<th>Svjedočanstvo</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concordance no. of collocates analysed on the corpus data of Google Search (pages from Montenegro)</td>
<td>18900</td>
<td>746</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>190681</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The final stage of the research involves frequency analysis of the four most recurrent collocates of the adjective hrabro (~srce, ~suočavanje, ~novinarstvo, ~svjedočanstvo); (En.~heart, ~coping, ~journalism, ~testimony) within the collocational framework of the suggested near synonyms (herojsko, odvažno, smiono, junačko) (see table 3). The results of the analysis suggest that the near synonyms of the adjective hrabro are: junačko (6632), smiono (2687), herojsko (1945), odvažno (265) (www.google.com, pages from Montenegro, taken on December 02, 2015). The overall semantic content of the seme hrabro would be bold and fearless (table 3). All nominal collocates have an idiomatic meaning.

5.1. Analysis of the descriptive adjective brave in English

A frequency analysis of the four most recurrent collocates of the adjective brave (~man, ~face, ~attempt, ~fight) was carried out within the collocational framework of the suggested near synonyms (courageous, bold, fearless, dauntless) (see table 4). The results of the analysis suggest that the near synonyms of the adjective brave are: courageous (90), bold (73) and fearless (18), (www.WordBanksOnline:English, taken on December 2, 2015).

Table 4 Near-synonymy samples of the adjective brave. The most frequent four collocates of the descriptive adjective pale analysed on the corpus data of following website: www.justtheword.com
5.1. Componential analysis

Further research comprises of the componential analysis of the descriptive adjective hrabar,-a,-o and its near synonyms herojski,-a,-o, junački,-a,-o, odvažan,-a,-o, smion,-a,-o. Throughout this analysis we intend to distinguish the semantic features of the abovementioned adjective and its near synonyms, which is why we have analyzed them in the selected collocational framework (the most frequent collocates of the adjective hrabar-a,o are as follows: ~potez, ~čovjek, ~korak, ~čin, ~žena, ~odluka, ~djevojka, ~igra, ~srce, ~suočavanje, ~novinarstvo, ~svjedočanstvo; En. (~move, ~man, ~step, ~act, ~woman, ~decision, ~girl, ~game, ~heart, ~coping, ~journalism, ~testimony)

Componential analysis includes the descriptive adjective brave, as well as its near synonyms: courageous, bold, fearless, dauntless. The descriptive adjective brave and its near synonyms have been analyzed in the collocational framework of the adjective brave (~man, ~face, ~attempt, ~fight).

5.2. Contrastive analysis

In the process of contrastive analysis application we have undertaken a comparison of the semantic features of the adjective hrabar,-a,-o and its near synonyms (herojski,-a,-o, junački,-a,-o odvažan,-a,-o, smion,-a,-o) according to the frequency of their most recurrent collocates: ~potez, ~čovjek, ~korak, ~čin, ~žena, ~odluka, ~djevojka, ~igra, ~srce, ~suočavanje, ~novinarstvo, ~svjedočanstvo.

The most frequent semantic features of the adjective hrabar,-a,-o in Montenegrin and the adjective brave in English, as well as their near synonyms analyzed in the range of their most frequent collocates, are:

a) the most frequent semantic components of the adjective hrabar and its near synonyms (herojski, odvažan, smion, junački) analysed in the following collocational framework (~move, ~man, ~step, ~act).

[+MALE±ANIMATE±ADULT]
[+KURAŽAN] 7: Eng. [+BOLD] 7
[+NEPOKOLEBLJIV] 4: Eng. [+RESOLUTE] 4
[+VITEŠKI] 4: Eng. [+CHIVALROUS] 4
b) the most frequent semantic components of the adjective *hrabra* and its near synonyms (*herojska, odvažna, smiona, junačka*) analysed within the given collocational framework (~žena, ~odluka, ~djevojka, ~igra):

[-MALE±ANIMATE±ADULT]  
[+SRČANA] 8: Eng. [+STOUT-HEARTED] 8  
[+KURAŽNA] 5: Eng. [+BOLD] 5  
[+NEUSTRAŠIVA] 5: Eng. [+FEARLESS] 5


c) the most frequent semantic components of the adjective *hrabro* and its near synonyms (*herojsko, odvažno, smiono, junačko*) analysed in the range of the following collocates (~srce, ~suočavanje, ~novinarstvo, ~svjedočanstvo):

[±MALE±ANIMATE±ADULT]  
[+NEUSTRAŠIVO] 10: Eng. [+FEARLESS] 10  
[+NEPOKOLEBLJIVO] 5: Eng. [+RESOLUTE] 5  
[+VITEŠKO] 4: Eng. [+CHIVALROUS] 4


d) the most frequent semantic components of the adjective *brave* and its near synonyms (*courageous, bold, fearless, dauntless*) analyzed in the following collocational framework (~man, ~face, ~attempt, ~fight):

[-MALE±ANIMATE±ADULT]  
[+MUŠKO±ŽIVO±ODRASLO]  
[+NEUSTRAŠIV] 8: Eng. [+FEARLESS] 8  
[+SRČAN] 6: Eng. [+STOUT-HEARTED] 6  
[+NEPOKOLEBLJIVO] 4: Eng. [+RESOLUTE] 4

At the same time, the most frequent semantic components of the adjective *hrabar -a, -o* in Montenegrin and the adjective *brave* in English are as follows:

[-MALE±ANIMATE±ADULT]  
[+FEARLESS]  
[+STOUT-HEARTED]  
[+RESOLUTE]
Further analysis includes the most frequent collocates of the descriptive adjective *barve* near synonyms collected from the British National Corpus (112,181,015) (table 5). Their common collocates have been written in bolded letters:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COURAGEOUS</th>
<th>MAN (11)</th>
<th>DECISION (9)</th>
<th>PEOPLE (6)</th>
<th>EFFORT (5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BOLD</td>
<td>MOVE (21)</td>
<td>STEP (15)</td>
<td>STATEMENT (16)</td>
<td>ATTEMPT (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEARLESS</td>
<td>PATRIOT (2)</td>
<td>INVENTORY (1)</td>
<td>CREATIVITY (1)</td>
<td>HUNTER (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAUNTLESS</td>
<td>JAVELOT (4)</td>
<td>CAVALRY-MAN (1)</td>
<td>CONVERSATION (1)</td>
<td>BOY (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRAVE</td>
<td>MAN (94)</td>
<td>FACE (75)</td>
<td>WORLD (63)</td>
<td>ATTEMPT (29)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The common collocates of the descriptive adjective *brave* near synonyms (table 5) are:

- courageous/brave man
- bold/brave attempt

Out of the most frequent collocates of the descriptive adjective *pale* and its near synonyms, the following ones have a transferred meaning (table 5):

- courageous decision/effort
- bold move/step/statement/attempt
- fearless inventory/creativity
- dauntless conversation
- brave face/world/attempt

Similar analysis has been carried out regarding the most frequent collocates of the near synonym *hrabar-a,-o* (*herojski,-a,-o, junački,-a,-o odvažan,-a,-o, smion,-a,-o*) endorsing the corpus data of the Contemporary Serbian language, Faculty of Mathematics, University of Belgrade (113,000,000) (table 6).
### Table 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HEROJSKI</th>
<th>OTPOR (24)</th>
<th>NAROD (11)</th>
<th>ČIN (9)</th>
<th>PODUHVAT (5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JUNAČKI</td>
<td>PODVIG (3)</td>
<td>OTPOR (2)</td>
<td>ČIN (2)</td>
<td>POKLIČ (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODVAŽAN</td>
<td>ČOVJEK (39)</td>
<td>PODUHVAT (3)</td>
<td>MOMAK (2)</td>
<td>VOJSKOVOĐA (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMION</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRABAR</td>
<td>ČOVJEK (9)</td>
<td>POTEZ (38)</td>
<td>KORAK (13)</td>
<td>ČIN (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEROJSKA</td>
<td>DJELA (9)</td>
<td>BORBA (9)</td>
<td>ODBRANA (8)</td>
<td>POBJEDA (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUNAČKA</td>
<td>DJELA (10)</td>
<td>KRV (3)</td>
<td>SMRT (3)</td>
<td>Pjesma (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODVAŽNA</td>
<td>DAMA (2)</td>
<td>DJEVOJKA (1)</td>
<td>TINEJ-DŽERKA (1)</td>
<td>STARICA (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMJELA</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRABRA</td>
<td>ŽENA (21)</td>
<td>ODLUKA (12)</td>
<td>DJEVOJKA (11)</td>
<td>IGRA (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEROJSKO</td>
<td>DJELO (12)</td>
<td>DOBA (10)</td>
<td>VRIJEME (2)</td>
<td>SAMOŽRTVANJE (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUNAČKO</td>
<td>DJELO (12)</td>
<td>DRŽANJE (10)</td>
<td>ZDRAVLJE (7)</td>
<td>SRCE (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODVAŽNO</td>
<td>DJELO (2)</td>
<td>ZAUZIMANJE (1)</td>
<td>SUČELJAVANJE (1)</td>
<td>SRCE (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMJELO</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRABRO</td>
<td>SRCE (30)</td>
<td>SUOČAVANJE (2)</td>
<td>NOVINARSTVO (1)</td>
<td>SVJEDOČANSVO (1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The common collocates of the descriptive adjective *hrabar,-a,-o* near synonyms are (table 6):

- herojski/junački otpor
- herojski/junački čin
- odvažan/hrabar čovjek
- herojska/junačka djela
- odvažna/hrabra djevojka
- herojsko/junačko/odvažno djelo

---

18 heroic/resistance  
19 heroic act  
20 bold/brave man  
21 heroic feats  
22 bold/brave girl  
23 heroic/bold feat
Among the most frequent collocates, the following ones have transferred meaning: (30) (see table 6):

- herojski otpor/čin/poduhvat
- junak otpor/čin/poklic
- odvažan poduhvat
- hbar poduhvat
- herojska djela/borba(odbrana/pobjeda
- hrabra odluka/igr
- junačka krv/smrt
- herojsko djelo/doba/vrijeme/samožrtvovanje
- junačko djelo/držanje/zdravlje/srce
- odvažno djelo/zauzimanje/sučeljavanje/srce
- hbar srce/suočavanje/novinarstvo/svjedočanstvo

The stated samples of collocates indicate a metaphorical and metonymic meaning extension of the adjective hrabar,-a,-o.

24 bold/bra heart
25 heroic resistance/act/attempt
26 heroic resistance/act/cry
27 bold attempt
28 brave move/step/act
29 heroic deeds/battle/defense/victory
30 brave decision/game
31 heroic blood/death
32 heroic deed/age/time/self-immolation
33 heroic deed/attitude/health/heart
34 bold deed/commitment/confrotation/heart
35 brave heart/coping/journalism/testimony
36 Metaphor and metonymy are significant sources of extension of meaning. (Szathmári 2001).
37 Metaphorical extensions of meaning have been researched by Persson (1989) in his analysis of differences in meaning between the near synonyms deep and profound. It has been concluded that these adjectives have different meaning when analysed in different collocational frameworks. Deep collocates with the words expressing affection, conviction, feelings, sorrow, satisfaction, regrets and the like, whereas profound collocates with the words expressing distaste, failure, influence and so on. Bearing in mind their metaphorical meaning, they may imply either position on one hand or depth on the other. Only deep contains the metaphor of position, while depth can be expressed by both terms.
and its near synonyms when analysed in the most frequent collocational framework.

6. Conclusions

The interdisciplinary approach to the research presented in this paper incorporates the collocational method (Hlebec 2008; Hlebec 2012), as well as componential analysis of the extracted collocates of the adjectives and the semantic content of their near synonyms in the English and Montenegrin languages.

The first significant result of the method concerns the specific method of selecting near synonyms. The following valid result of the analysis highlights the influence of the grammatical gender (male, female, neutral) on the various most frequent collocates of the analysed descriptive adjective, when seen from the perspective of each gender.

For example:

- For the adjective *hrabar*: 
  - hrabar: potez (27300); čovjek (16200); korak (11600); čin (11000) (table 1)
  - hrabra: žena (23600); odluka (11400); djevojka (6720); igra (5640) (table 2)
  - hrabro: srce (18900); suočavanje (746); novinarstvo (565); svjedočanstvo (370) (table 3)

Besides the influence of most frequent collocational framework of the adjective on the choice of its near synonyms, we found out that this interrelation depends on the adjective’s grammatical gender and also on the choice of near synonym, especially regarding the near synonyms proximity-of-meaning order.

Namely, we discovered that this proximity-of-meaning order of near synonyms varies with the gender implied (masculine, feminine, neutral), for example:

- Near synonyms of the adjective *hrabar* are: herojski (40870), odvažan (10803), smion (202), junački (2)
- Near synonyms of the adjective *hrabra* are odvažna (2719), herojska (2024), junačka (1345), smiona (593)
- Near synonyms of the adjective *hrabro* are: junačko (6632), smjelo (2687), herojsko (1945), odvažno (265)

The same interrelation between the word order of near proximity-of-meaning synonyms and grammatical gender is not
noticeably present in the English language, presumably because grammatical gender does not exist in English.

The research results indicate that certain traits featuring human beings are characteristic for certain concrete and abstract words in English as well as in Montenegrin, respectively.38

Bearing in mind the results of the analysis, indicating a multi-layered morphological features of the adjective hrabar-a-o in Montenegrin and the obvious extension of meaning of the samples analyzed we can finally conclude, thus confirming the starting hypothesis, that grammatical gender generates extension of meaning in Montenegrin to a greater extent (12) compared to analysis of its counterpart in English (4), due to the lack of grammatical gender in English.
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